

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,405	07/28/2003	Brian K. Tanner	PANA-01066US3 SRM/TAW	7231	
23910 7	590 08/10/2005		EXAM	INER	
FLIESLER MEYER, LLP			MILLER, P.	MILLER, PATRICK L	
FOUR EMBAI	RCADERO CENTER				
SUITE 400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94111		2837		

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Annlineti	N			
Office Action Summary		Application	on NO.	Applicant(s)		
		10/629,40	5	TANNER, BRIAN K.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Patrick Mil		2837		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE - External formal f	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the property of the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statication of the property of the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no eve eply within the statu od will apply and wil ute, cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	May 2005				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
7—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1,3,4,7-12 and 14-17 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>✓ 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 7-12 and 14-17 is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1,3 and 4 is/are rejected.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 July 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted ne drawing(s) b ection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2)  Notice 3)  Infor	ot(s)  se of References Cited (PTO-892)  se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0  er No(s)/Mail Date	08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2837

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schirle (6,055,120).
  - With respect to claim 1, Schirle disclose a method to control spin-up parameters of a spindle motor in a disk drive including the steps of: determining a temperature of a voice coil motor (VCM) (Fig. 2, #28; Fig. 3, #71; col. 4, Il. 35-65; ambient temperature includes the temperature of the VCM); varying the spin-up parameters of the spindle motor based on the determined temperature (During spin-up, the controller drives with control signals. These signals are interpreted as voltage and/or current signals, and are labeled by the examiner as the spin-up parameters. When the temperature of the VCM gets too high, the controller shuts down the drive motor. See col. 5, Il. 12-13. This means that the voltage/current spin-up parameter is varied from a non-zero value to a zero value); and the spin-up parameters comprise at least one of: spin-up current, spin-up voltage, and commutation time (col. 3, Il. 49-52; control signals include drive voltage/current, and are interpreted as spin-up parameters).
  - Schirle does not explicitly disclose determining the temperature of the VCM. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

Application/Control Number: 10/629,405

Art Unit: 2837

invention that since the VCM emits heat, the ambient temperature sensed by the temperature sensor is comprised, in part, of the temperature of the VCM. Additionally, Schirle does not disclose the parameters are either spin-up voltage or current,

Page 3

Schirle does not disclose the parameters are either spin-up voltage or current, respectively. However, Schirle does disclose a voltage sensor that detects the voltage across the motor (col. 5, ll. 1-4). This implies that the control signal must be a voltage or a current signal to set a voltage across the motor. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the control signals that control the spindle motor during spin-up in the device of Schirle would be voltage and/or current signals, which are interpreted as spin-up parameters by the examiner. The motivation to use current or voltage signals as spin-up parameters is to control the speed

• With respect to claim 4, Schirle discloses the step of setting a time out period after which the spindle motor is turned off if it has not reached a desired operational velocity (cols. 4/5, Il. 66-67/1-13), wherein the time out period is increased with a decrease in the temperature (col. 4, Il. 31-34; increased time-out period when the temperature is low).

of the spindle motor, and, thus, control the speed at which the disk rotates.

- 2. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schirle (6,055,120) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Wallis (5,268,804).
  - Schirle does not disclose a step for determining the temperature comprises measuring the resistance of the coil.
  - Wallis discloses determining the temperature of the VCM based on the resistance of a
    coil of the VCM (col. 4, ll. 47-63; Fig. 1, 'VCM Temperature' is sent to #4, which is a
    processor. Wallis measures the temperature of the VCM as described to increase the time

taken to move the data head between given positions if the temperature of the VCM is above a predetermined value (abstract). This provides the advantage of reducing the heat built up in the mechanism moving the data without reducing the access time (cols. ½, ll. 63-68/1-5).

Page 4

• Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the measurement circuit used to measure the resistance of a coil of the VCM to determine the temperature of the VCM could replace the temperature sensor of Schirle, thereby increasing the time taken to move the data head (of Schirle) between given positions, and providing the advantage of reducing the built-up heat in the mechanism moving the data without reducing the data access time, as taught by Wallis.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 7-12 and 14-17 are allowed.
  - With respect to claim 7, the Prior Art fails to disclose increasing the torque to the spindle
    motor during startup to correspond with a decrease in the determined temperature of the
    VCM, where the temperature is determined by measuring the resistance of a coil in the
    VCM.
  - With respect to claim 14, the Prior Art discloses causing the current applied to a spindle motor to increase torque during startup; however, the Prior art does not disclose setting current levels to increase the torque applied to the spindle motor at startup to correspond to the decrease in the determined temperature, where the temperature is determined using the resistance of the coil of the VCM.

Page 5

With respect to claim 15, the Prior Art discloses applying a sequence of voltages to coil windings of the spindle motor during startup to generate a torque to cause movement of the spindle motor. However, the Prior Art does not disclose applying the sequence of voltages to generate an increased torque value to the spindle motor, and said increase value of torque corresponds with the decrease in the determined temperature, where the temperature is determined using the resistance of a coil of the VCM.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Miller whose telephone number is 571-272-2070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,405

Art Unit: 2837

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 41. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-3431.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Patuel mile Patrick Miller

Page 6

Examiner

Art Unit 283

pm July 22, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800